

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DEMETRICK PENNIE, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
TWITTER, INC., et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. [17-cv-00230-JCS](#)


**ORDER DENYING AS MOOT MOTION
TO DISMISS**

Re: Dkt. No. 40

Defendants moved to dismiss Plaintiffs' original complaint in this action. *See* Mot. (dkt. 40). After Defendants filed their motion, Plaintiffs filed an amended complaint pursuant to Rule 15(a)(1)(B) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. *See* 1st Am. Compl. (dkt. 41). Because the amended complaint supersedes the original complaint challenged by Defendants' motion, the motion is DENIED AS MOOT.¹ The Court finds the matter suitable for resolution without oral argument. *See* Civ. L.R. 7-1(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 12, 2017


JOSEPH C. SPERO
Chief Magistrate Judge

¹ The parties have consented to the jurisdiction of the undersigned magistrate judge for all purposes pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c).